Page 1 of 5 CARB 74409P-2014



Calgary Assessment Review Board

DECISION WITH REASONS

In the matter of the complaint against the property assessment as provided by the *Municipal Government Act*, Chapter M-26, Section 460, Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000 (the Act).

between:

Alberta Treasury Branches (as represented by Altus Group Limited), COMPLAINANT

and

The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT

before:

M. Axworthy, PRESIDING OFFICER B. Bickford, BOARD MEMBER P. McKenna, BOARD MEMBER

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of a property assessment prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2014 Assessment Roll as follows:

ROLL NUMBER: 057259707

LOCATION ADDRESS: 217 16 AV NW

FILE NUMBER: 74409

ASSESSMENT: \$6,950,000

Page 2 of 5 CARB 74409P-2014

This complaint was heard on 6 day of August, 2014 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located at Floor Number 4, 1212 – 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Boardroom 3.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

• K. Fong, Agent

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

- S. Bazin, Assessor
- T. Neal, Assessor

Board's Decision in Respect of Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:

[1] No procedural or jurisdictional matters were raised.

[2] Both the Complainant and the Respondent requested that all evidence and argument be cross-referenced to File 74439.

Property Description:

[3] The subject property is a 31,525 square foot (SF), low-rise office building with 7,691 SF of bank space on the main floor and 7,707 SF of storage space, located in the community of Crescent Heights. The subject was constructed in 1979 and is classified as "B" quality, with a Subproperty use code of CS0302 Suburban Offices. It is assessed using the Income Approach to value with rental rates ranging from \$3.00 to \$35.00 per SF, a vacancy rate of 6.00 % and a cap rate of 7.00%.

Issue:

[4] While a number of issues were identified on the complainant form, the only issue argued at the hearing was that the office space is inequitably assessed at \$16.00 per SF and should be assessed at a rental rate of \$13.00 per SF.

Complainant's Requested Value: \$6,300,000

Board's Decision:

[5] The Board reduces the assessment to \$6,300,000.

Legislative Authority, Requirements and Considerations:

[6] Under the Act Section 460.1(2) and subject to Section 460(11), a composite assessment review board has jurisdiction to hear complaints about any matter referred to in section 460(5) that is shown on an assessment notice for property, other than property described in subsection 460.1 (1)(a).

Page 3 of 5 CARB 74409P-2014

[7] The Board will limit its comments to the relevant facts pertaining to this case and materials which led to the decision.

Position of the Parties

Issue: Should the assessed office rental rate for the subject be reduced to \$13.00 per SF?

Complainant's Position:

[8] The Complainant stated that the subject is an average quality office building just off Centre ST. On 16 AV NW, and that it and three other nearby properties were incorrectly assessed and the office rental rate should be reduced from \$16.00 to \$13.00 per SF.

[9] In support of its request the Complainant provided a 2014 "B" Class Office Rental rate Study with 12 leases, in four nearby buildings on Centre ST. N. There was no lease information available for the subject as it is an owner occupied property. The Study indicated a median rental rate of \$13.00 per SF and a weighted mean of \$13.00 per SF [C1, p. 27].

[10] The Complainant argued that this portion of Centre St. N and 16 AV NW performed differently than the balance of the NW quadrant as shown by its study, and assessed rental rates should be reduced to provide a more fair and equitable assessment of value in this economic zone.

[11] The Complainant stated that in its opinion, this portion of Centre ST N and 16 AV NW was different in function and character from the Kensington RD NW area from where a number of leases in the Respondent's 2014 Suburban Office Rental Analysis: B Quality were drawn [R1, p. 20].

Respondent's Position:

[12] The Respondent stated that the Complainant's 2014 "B" Class Office Rental Rate study was flawed as it contained three leases that commenced prior to the July 1, 2012 evaluation period and should be excluded from the study; and two leases that should have been included in the study but were not [R1, p. 18].

Note: the Respondent corrected an error on the lease information from 1121 Centre ST NW shown on p. 18 of R1, stating that the correct information for the subject (lease start date of 01 April 2013 and a leasable area of 2,996 SF) is provided on p. 20 of R1.

[13] In support of its assessed rental rate of \$16.00 per SF, the Respondent provided its 2014 Suburban Office Rental Analysis: B Quality, [R1, p. 20], which indicated a median rental rate of \$17.00 per SF, a weighted mean of \$15.05 and an assessed rate of \$16.00 per SF.

[14] The Respondent stated that it had looked at all the calculation parameters resulting from its 2014 Study and determined that \$16.00 was a middle value and chose to use it for the 2014 assessment.

[15] The Respondent stated that it disagreed with the Complainant and that in its opinion; this portion of Centre ST N and 16 AV NW was equivalent in pedestrian traffic and character to the Kensington RD NW area.

Page 4 of 5 CARB 74409P-2014

Board's Reasons for Decision:

[16] The Board is persuaded that this portion of Centre ST NW and 16 AV NW performs differently in the market place than the balance of the "B" Quality Suburban Office space in the NW.

[17] In coming to this conclusion, the Board considered the Complainant's 2014 "B" Class Office Rental Study for the nearby area on Centre ST NW, removed the three dated leases that the Respondent objected to and added the two leases that the Respondent identified as missing from the Complainant's study. This calculation produced a median rental rate of \$13.00 per SF and a weighted mean of \$13.30 per SF.

[18] Therefore, the Board finds that the typical rental rate for the subject is less than the assessed rental rate of \$16.00 per SF and reduces the assessed rental rate for the subject to \$13.00 per SF.

[19] The Board reduces the assessment to \$6,300,000.

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS 18 DAY OF ______ 2014.

1104

Presiding Officer



APPENDIX "A"

DOCUMENTS PRESENTED AT THE HEARING AND CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

NO		
1. C1	Complainant Disclosure	
2. R1	Respondent Disclosure	

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.

Municipal Government Board use only: Decision Identifier Codes					
Appeal Type	Property Type	Property Sub-Type	Issue	Sub-Issue	
CARB	Office	Suburban Office	Rental rate		

For Administrative Use Only